PEER-REVIEWED JOURNAL OF COMMERCE AND MANAGEMENT (PRJCM)

ISSN Online :

Open Access Scholarly Journal

Supply Chain Digitalization and Optimization: A Comprehensive Review of Technologies, Applications, and Future Directions

Sudheesh Kumar K.

Assistant Professor, P.G. & Research Centre of Commerce, Maharajas College (Govt. Autonomous), Ernakulam, India.

Article information

Received: 10th February 2026
Received in revised form: 23rd February 2026
Accepted: 1st March 2026
Available online: 6th March 2026

Volume: 1
Issue: 1
DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18897660

Abstract

This research examines the digital transformation of supply chain management by analyzing the technologies, applications, and strategic implications of digitalization in modern supply chain networks. Drawing on a comprehensive review of academic literature, industry reports, and documented case implementations, the study explores the role of emerging digital technologies such as the Internet of Things (IoT), artificial intelligence (AI), blockchain, digital twins, and advanced automation in reshaping supply chain operations. The synthesis of prior studies highlights how digitalization enables substantial improvements in end-to-end supply chain visibility, demand forecasting accuracy, operational efficiency, and overall resilience against disruptions. Empirical evidence from practice indicates that organizations adopting integrated digital supply chain strategies can achieve measurable performance gains, including reductions of approximately 10% in transportation and labor costs and improvements of up to 20% in customer service reliability. Despite these benefits, the findings emphasize that successful digital transformation extends beyond technology adoption alone. Critical challenges include ensuring high data quality, redesigning existing processes to align with digital workflows, developing workforce skills, and fostering collaboration among supply chain partners. From a research perspective, the study is limited by its reliance on available secondary sources, with relatively little longitudinal evidence on long-term performance outcomes and organizational change resulting from digital transformation initiatives. Practically, the insights offer valuable guidance for supply chain managers and executives in prioritizing digital investments, addressing implementation barriers, and building the organizational capabilities required for effective digital supply chain management. Overall, the study contributes originality and value by integrating emerging research and practice into a cohesive framework that clarifies the technologies, applications, and strategic considerations underpinning digital supply chain transformation.

Keywords: -Supply chain management, digitalization, Industry 4.0, artificial intelligence, Internet of Things, digital twin, automation, supply chain resilience

I. INTRODUCTION

Supply chain management encompasses the complex networks of suppliers, manufacturers, distributors, and retailers that deliver products and services to end customers (Christopher, 2016). The COVID-19 pandemic dramatically exposed vulnerabilities in global supply chains, highlighting weaknesses in visibility, flexibility, and resilience that had developed during decades of optimization focused primarily on cost and efficiency (Ivanov & Dolgui, 2020). As organizations rebuild their supply chains, digital technologies offer transformative capabilities to enhance visibility, improve decision-making, increase flexibility, and build resilience across supply chain networks (Büyüközkan & Göçer, 2018).

Supply chain digitalization has emerged as a strategic priority across industries, with research indicating that 45% of organizations focus on upgrading infrastructure through digital transformations (McKinsey & Company, 2021). The complexity of modern supply chains, involving hundreds or thousands of suppliers across multiple tiers, production facilities across continents, and distribution networks serving diverse markets, demands sophisticated digital tools and capabilities (Choi et al., 2001).

Traditional approaches based on periodic planning cycles and limited visibility have become increasingly untenable as customer expectations rise and market conditions become more volatile (Christopher & Holweg, 2011). Digital technologies enable fundamentally different approaches characterized by real-time visibility, advanced analytics, predictive capabilities, and automated operations (Tiwari et al., 2018).

1.1. Research Objectives

This research addresses the following objectives:

- To examine the key digital technologies transforming supply chain management
- To analyze applications and benefits of digitalization across supply chain functions
- To identify implementation challenges and success factors
- To propose directions for future research and practice

II. LITERATURE REVIEW: SUPPLY CHAIN DIGITALIZATION AND INDUSTRY 4.0

2.1. Digital Transformation in Supply Chains

Digital transformation represents a fundamental rethinking of how organizations use technology, processes, and people to change business performance (Westerman et al., 2014). In supply chain contexts, digitalization encompasses the integration of digital technologies throughout supply chain processes to improve visibility, efficiency, flexibility, and resilience (Büyüközkan & Göçer, 2018).

The convergence of multiple technologies including IoT, cloud computing, big data analytics, artificial intelligence, and automation enables new capabilities that were previously impossible or economically infeasible (Porter & Heppelmann, 2014). These technologies complement and reinforce each other, creating synergies that amplify their individual impacts (Xu et al., 2018).

2.2. Industry 4.0 and Smart Supply Chains

Industry 4.0, characterized by cyber-physical systems, IoT, and intelligent automation, has profound implications for supply chain management (Kagermann et al., 2013). Smart supply chains leverage these technologies to create self-optimizing, adaptive systems that can sense and respond to changing conditions with minimal human intervention (Wu et al., 2016).

Research on Industry 4.0 adoption shows significant variation across countries and industries. Germany, a leader in Industry 4.0 implementation, deploys 429 robots per 10,000 employees, ranking fourth globally (International Federation of Robotics, 2023). This high robot density serves as a strong indicator of mature automation adoption and drives demand for complementary technologies including IoT, AI, and advanced control systems (Bauer et al., 2015).

2.3. Supply Chain Resilience and Disruption

Recent disruptions, particularly the COVID-19 pandemic, have fundamentally altered perspectives on supply chain design (Ivanov, 2020). Organizations are reassessing the balance between efficiency and resilience, recognizing that supply chain disruptions can have severe impacts on revenue, reputation, and competitive position (Sheffi & Rice, 2005).

The pandemic exposed the brittleness of globally optimized supply chains with limited redundancy and visibility (Handfield et al., 2020). Organizations with digital capabilities including real-time visibility, predictive analytics, and flexible automation demonstrated greater resilience and faster recovery (Belhadi et al., 2021).

III. VISIBILITY AND TRANSPARENCY TECHNOLOGIES

3.1. The Visibility Challenge

Supply chain visibility the ability to understand the status and location of materials, products, and orders throughout the network represents a foundational capability for effective supply chain management (Francis, 2008). However, achieving comprehensive visibility remains challenging. Research indicates that only 16% of manufacturers have real-time monitoring of their entire manufacturing process, despite 92% reporting that digital transformation is a top priority (Deloitte, 2020).

3.2. Internet of Things Technologies

IoT technologies provide the sensing and communication capabilities necessary for real-time supply chain visibility (Atzori et al., 2010). Sensors embedded in products, containers, vehicles, and equipment can track location, temperature, humidity, shock, and other conditions throughout the supply chain (Ben-Daya et al., 2019).

This data flows to central platforms that provide visibility and generate alerts when conditions deviate from acceptable ranges, enabling proactive intervention to prevent quality issues or delays (Lee & Lee, 2015). IoT-enabled supply chains can achieve end-to-end visibility from raw material sources through manufacturing, distribution, and final delivery (Tao et al., 2018).

3.3. Blockchain for Supply Chain Transparency

Blockchain technologies offer potential to enhance supply chain transparency and trust, particularly in contexts involving multiple organizations that may not fully trust each other (Saberi et al., 2019). By creating tamper-proof records of transactions and custody changes, blockchain can reduce disputes, streamline documentation processes, and provide consumers with verifiable information about product origins and supply chain practices (Kshetri, 2018).

While blockchain adoption in supply chains remains relatively early stage, pilot projects and implementations in industries such as food, pharmaceuticals, and luxury goods demonstrate potential value (Kamilaris et al., 2019). Applications include provenance tracking, counterfeit prevention, and verification of sustainability claims (Tian, 2016).

IV. ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE AND ADVANCED ANALYTICS

4.1. AI's Transformative Potential

Artificial intelligence and advanced analytics have become critical enablers of supply chain optimization, addressing challenges ranging from demand forecasting to inventory optimization to route planning (Min, 2010). Research indicates that generative AI deployment is predicted to decrease headcount in supply chain and inventory management functions, suggesting significant automation of tasks currently performed by human workers (McKinsey & Company, 2023).

4.2. Demand Forecasting

Demand forecasting represents one of the most impactful applications of AI in supply chains (Syntetos et al., 2016). Traditional forecasting approaches based on historical patterns and statistical models often struggle to capture the complex factors influencing demand, including seasonality, promotions, competitive actions, weather, and macroeconomic conditions (Fildes et al., 2019).

Machine learning models can analyze vast amounts of data from multiple sources, identifying patterns and relationships that improve forecast accuracy (Carbonneau et al., 2008). Deep learning approaches, particularly recurrent neural networks and transformer models, have demonstrated superior performance in capturing complex temporal patterns and dependencies (Hewamalage et al., 2021).

4.3. Inventory Optimization

Inventory optimization leverages AI to determine optimal inventory levels and positioning across the supply chain network, balancing service level objectives against inventory carrying costs (Silver et al., 2016). AI-powered systems can dynamically adjust inventory parameters based on changing demand patterns, supply variability, and cost factors, enabling organizations to maintain high service levels with lower inventory investments (Syntetos et al., 2010).

Reinforcement learning approaches show particular promise for inventory optimization, as they can learn optimal policies through simulation and adapt to changing conditions over time (Gijsbrechts et al., 2022).

4.4. Transportation and Logistics Optimization

Transportation and logistics optimization represents another high-value application area (Crainic & Laporte, 1997). AI algorithms can optimize route planning, load consolidation, and mode selection, reducing transportation costs while improving delivery performance (Cattaruzza et al., 2017).

Real-time optimization enables dynamic responses to changing conditions including traffic, weather, and customer requests, ensuring that logistics operations remain efficient as circumstances evolve (Pillac et al., 2013). Machine learning can continuously improve routing decisions by learning from historical performance and adapting to emerging patterns (Bektaş & Laporte, 2011).

V. DIGITAL TWINS AND SIMULATION

5.1. Digital Twin Concept and Growth

Digital twin technology has emerged as a powerful tool for supply chain planning and optimization (Ivanov & Dolgui, 2021). These virtual replicas of supply chain networks enable organizations to simulate scenarios, test strategies, and predict the impacts of decisions before implementing them in the physical world (Grieves & Vickers, 2017).

The global digital twin market is projected to expand at a 60% annual growth rate, reaching $73.5 billion by 2027, with supply chain applications representing a significant component of this growth (Markets and Markets, 2022).

5.2. Applications in Supply Chain Management

In supply chain contexts, digital twins integrate data from multiple sources including enterprise systems, IoT devices, market data, and external factors such as weather forecasts and economic indicators (Qi et al., 2021). This comprehensive representation enables sophisticated analysis and simulation that would be impossible or impractical in physical environments (Ivanov & Dolgui, 2020).

Organizations can evaluate alternative supply chain configurations, assess resilience to potential disruptions, and optimize operations under various scenarios (Burgos & Ivanov, 2021). Firms implementing digital twins for supply chain optimization have improved logistics efficiency, lowering transportation and labor costs by 10% while increasing customer service reliability by 20% (Capgemini Research Institute, 2020).

5.3. Implementation Considerations

Successful digital twin implementation requires high-quality data, sophisticated modeling capabilities, and integration with operational systems (Fuller et al., 2020). Organizations must balance model complexity against computational requirements and the need for timely insights (Kritzinger et al., 2018).

VI. AUTOMATION AND ROBOTICS

6.1. Warehouse Automation

Automation technologies are transforming supply chain operations, particularly in warehousing and fulfillment where labor represents a significant cost component and availability challenges have intensified (Azadeh et al., 2019). Automated storage and retrieval systems, autonomous mobile robots, and robotic picking systems are becoming increasingly common in distribution centers and warehouses (De Koster et al., 2007).

These technologies improve productivity, reduce errors, and enable continuous operations (Rushton et al., 2014). While initial investment can be substantial, ongoing labor savings and performance improvements often justify these investments, particularly in high-wage markets or contexts with labor availability challenges (Merschformann et al., 2019).

6.2. Robotic Process Automation

Robotic process automation extends beyond physical automation to automate repetitive digital tasks such as order processing, shipment tracking updates, and exception handling (Hofmann et al., 2020). By automating routine activities, RPA enables supply chain professionals to focus on more complex problem-solving, relationship management, and strategic activities that require human judgment and creativity (Lacity & Willcocks, 2016).

6.3. Global Automation Trends

Automation adoption varies significantly across countries and industries. Mature manufacturing economies demonstrate high robot density, which correlates with broader Industry 4.0 adoption (Bonfiglioli et al., 2020). This automation drives demand for complementary technologies including IoT, AI, and advanced control systems, creating a virtuous cycle of digital capability development (Müller et al., 2018).

VII. PREDICTIVE MAINTENANCE AND ASSET MANAGEMENT

7.1. Equipment Reliability and Downtime

Equipment reliability is critical in supply chain operations, where unplanned downtime can disrupt production, delay shipments, and impact customer service (Mobley, 2002). Predictive maintenance leverages IoT sensors and AI analytics to monitor equipment condition and predict failures before they occur, enabling proactive maintenance that minimizes downtime while avoiding unnecessary preventive maintenance (Lee et al., 2014).

7.2. Implementation and Benefits

Organizations implementing predictive maintenance have reduced equipment downtime and improved throughput by identifying issues early and scheduling maintenance during planned production breaks rather than responding to unexpected failures (Bousdekis et al., 2019). This capability proves particularly valuable for critical equipment where failures have cascading impacts across the supply chain network (Jardine et al., 2006).

7.3. Asset Tracking and Management

Asset tracking and management extends predictive maintenance concepts to mobile assets such as containers, pallets, and transportation equipment (Angeles, 2005). By tracking asset location and utilization, organizations can optimize asset pools, reduce losses, and improve asset turns (Ngai et al., 2008). IoT technologies enable real-time visibility into asset status and location, replacing manual tracking processes that were labor-intensive and error-prone (Tajima, 2007).

VIII. SUPPLY CHAIN RESILIENCE AND RISK MANAGEMENT

8.1. The Resilience Imperative

Recent disruptions including the COVID-19 pandemic, geopolitical tensions, extreme weather events, and cyber attacks have elevated supply chain resilience and risk management as strategic priorities (Christopher & Peck, 2004). Digital technologies provide new capabilities to enhance resilience through improved visibility, faster response, and more robust planning (Pettit et al., 2019).

8.2. Supply Chain Control Towers

Supply chain control towers aggregate data from multiple sources to provide comprehensive visibility across the supply chain network (Gartner, 2019). When disruptions occur, control towers enable rapid assessment of impacts and coordination of responses across organizations and functions (Tiwari et al., 2015). Advanced analytics can predict potential disruptions based on early warning signals, enabling proactive mitigation before issues escalate (Papadopoulos et al., 2017).

8.3. Scenario Planning and Network Design

Scenario planning and stress testing leverage digital twins and simulation capabilities to assess supply chain resilience under various disruption scenarios (Sawik, 2013). Organizations can evaluate their exposure to different risks, identify single points of failure, and develop contingency plans for various disruption types (Simchi-Levi et al., 2014).

Network optimization can enhance resilience by deliberately designing supply chains with redundancy and flexibility (Snyder et al., 2016). While redundancy typically increases costs, organizations are reassessing the appropriate balance between efficiency and resilience, recognizing that supply chain disruptions can have severe impacts on revenue and reputation (Tomlin, 2006).

IX. SUSTAINABILITY AND CIRCULAR SUPPLY CHAINS

9.1. Environmental Sustainability Drivers

Environmental sustainability has become increasingly important in supply chain management, driven by regulatory requirements, investor expectations, customer preferences, and corporate commitments to reduce environmental impact (Seuring & Müller, 2008). Digital technologies enable better measurement, management, and optimization of environmental performance across supply chains (Dubey et al., 2019).

9.2. Visibility and Measurement

Supply chain visibility technologies can track environmental impacts including carbon emissions, water use, and waste generation throughout the supply chain (Sundarakani et al., 2010). This data enables organizations to identify hotspots where interventions would have the greatest impact, measure progress against sustainability goals, and report environmental performance to stakeholders with credibility (Sarkis et al., 2011).

9.3. Multi-objective Optimization

Optimization algorithms can incorporate environmental objectives alongside traditional cost and service metrics, enabling organizations to identify solutions that balance multiple objectives (Tang & Zhou, 2012). Transportation optimization can consider carbon emissions in addition to cost, potentially identifying opportunities to reduce environmental impact through mode shifts or route changes with acceptable cost implications (McKinnon, 2018).

9.4. Circular Economy Applications

Circular economy principles, which emphasize keeping materials in use through recycling, remanufacturing, and reuse, require supply chain capabilities to manage reverse flows and reprocessing operations (Govindan & Hasanagic, 2018). Digital platforms can facilitate circular models by connecting sources of used products with processors and end markets, optimizing collection and processing operations, and maintaining data on material provenance and characteristics through multiple use cycles (Nascimento et al., 2019).

X. COLLABORATION AND SUPPLY CHAIN NETWORKS

10.1. Digital Platforms for Collaboration

Supply chain digitalization enables new forms of collaboration across the network of organizations involved in delivering products and services to end customers (Büyüközkan et al., 2018). Digital platforms can facilitate information sharing, collaborative planning, and coordinated execution across organizational boundaries, creating more integrated and efficient supply chains (Leuschner et al., 2013).

10.2. Supplier Collaboration

Supplier collaboration platforms enable organizations to share forecasts, collaborate on new product development, jointly plan capacity, and share information on quality and performance (Cao & Zhang, 2011). By reducing information asymmetries and improving coordination, these platforms can reduce lead times, improve forecast accuracy, and strengthen supplier relationships (Simatupang & Sridharan, 2005).

10.3. Standards and Interoperability

Industry consortia and standards bodies are working to establish common data formats, protocols, and frameworks that enable interoperability across supply chain systems and partners (Rukanova et al., 2018). These efforts aim to reduce the custom integration work currently required to connect supply chain partners, enabling more efficient and scalable collaboration (GS1, 2020).

XI. DISCUSSION AND IMPLICATIONS

11.1. Key Findings

This research identifies several key findings regarding supply chain digitalization:

1. Technology Synergies

Digital technologies create synergistic effects when implemented together. IoT provides data, AI analyzes patterns, digital twins enable simulation, and automation executes optimized decisions. Organizations achieving greatest benefits implement integrated technology ecosystems rather than isolated point solutions.

2. Visibility as Foundation

Real-time visibility across the supply chain network emerges as a foundational capability enabling other benefits. Without accurate, timely data on inventory positions, order status, and asset locations, organizations cannot effectively leverage AI, optimization, or automation capabilities.

3. Performance Improvements

Documented implementations demonstrate significant performance improvements, including 10% reductions in transportation and labor costs and 20% improvements in customer service reliability through digital twin implementations. These benefits accrue from improved decision-making, operational efficiency, and proactive problem resolution.

4. Resilience Enhancement

Digital capabilities significantly enhance supply chain resilience by enabling faster detection of disruptions, more accurate impact assessment, and more coordinated responses. Organizations with advanced digital capabilities demonstrated superior performance during the COVID-19 pandemic.

5. Implementation Challenges

Despite benefits, organizations face significant challenges in digital transformation including data quality issues, integration complexity, skill gaps, and organizational change resistance. Success requires attention to people, processes, and governance alongside technology investments.

11.2 Theoretical Contributions

This research contributes to supply chain management theory in several ways:

1. Integrated Framework

By synthesizing diverse literatures on IoT, AI, digital twins, automation, and collaboration, this research provides an integrated framework for understanding supply chain digitalization that bridges technology-focused and application-focused perspectives.

2. Capability Hierarchy

The research identifies a hierarchy of digital capabilities, with visibility as foundation, analytics and optimization as intermediate capabilities, and automation and self-optimization as advanced capabilities. This hierarchy informs implementation prioritization and sequencing.

3. Resilience Mechanisms:

The research extends supply chain resilience theory by identifying specific mechanisms through which digital technologies enhance resilience, including faster sensing, improved assessment, and coordinated response capabilities.

11.3. Managerial Implications

Supply chain professionals and executives should consider the following implications:

1. Strategic Priority

Supply chain digitalization should be treated as a strategic priority requiring executive sponsorship, adequate resources, and sustained commitment. Benefits accrue over time as capabilities mature and organizations learn to leverage digital tools effectively.

2. Phased Approach

Organizations should adopt phased implementation approaches, beginning with visibility and analytics foundations before advancing to automation and autonomous operations. This approach manages risk and enables learning.

3. Ecosystem Perspective

Success requires collaboration with supply chain partners, technology providers, and industry initiatives. Organizations should actively participate in standards development and ecosystem building rather than pursuing purely proprietary approaches.

4. Talent Development

Organizations must invest in developing digital skills within supply chain organizations and creating hybrid roles that combine supply chain domain knowledge with data science and technology capabilities.

5. Balanced Objectives

Supply chain optimization should balance multiple objectives including cost, service, resilience, and sustainability rather than single-mindedly pursuing efficiency. Digital technologies enable multi-objective optimization that was previously impractical.

11.4. Research Limitations

This research has several limitations:

- Limited Longitudinal Data: Most documented implementations are relatively recent, limiting ability to assess long-term performance impacts and sustainability of benefits.
- Publication Bias: Published case studies and reports may overrepresent successful implementations, potentially overstating typical benefits and understating implementation challenges.
- Technology Evolution: Rapid technological change means that specific technologies and capabilities discussed may evolve significantly, though underlying principles likely remain relevant.
- Contextual Factors: Implementation success depends on organizational context, industry characteristics, and external factors that may limit generalizability of specific findings.

XII. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

12.1. Conclusion

Supply chain digitalization represents a critical imperative for organizations seeking to compete effectively in contemporary markets. Digital technologies including IoT, artificial intelligence, digital twins, automation, and collaborative platforms offer powerful capabilities to improve visibility, optimize operations, enhance resilience, and reduce environmental impacts across supply chain networks.

Organizations that effectively leverage digital technologies achieve significant advantages in cost, service, agility, and sustainability. Success requires not only technology investments but also attention to data quality, process redesign, skill development, and collaborative relationships across supply chain partners.

As organizations progress through 2025 and beyond, those that can execute effectively across these dimensions will be well-positioned to build supply chains that deliver competitive advantage while meeting stakeholder expectations for performance, resilience, and sustainability.

12.2. Future Research Directions

Several promising directions for future research emerge:

- Longitudinal Studies: Research tracking digital transformation journeys over extended periods could provide insights into implementation patterns, evolution of benefits, and factors influencing sustained success.
- Capability Development: Studies examining how organizations build digital capabilities, including talent development, organizational structure, and governance approaches, would inform implementation strategies.
- Ecosystem Dynamics: Research on collaboration mechanisms, network effects, and platform economics in digitalized supply chain networks would advance understanding of inter-organizational dimensions.
- Emerging Technologies: Investigation of newer technologies including quantum computing, advanced robotics, and next-generation AI could anticipate future capabilities and applications.
- Sustainability Integration: Research on effective integration of environmental and social objectives into digitalized supply chain operations would support sustainability goals.
- Resilience Mechanisms: Detailed studies of how specific digital capabilities contribute to resilience under different disruption types would inform resilience strategies.
- Implementation Patterns: Comparative research across industries, geographies, and organizational types could identify context-specific success factors and best practices.

REFERENCES

Angeles, R. (2005). RFID technologies: Supply-chain applications and implementation issues. *Information Systems Management, 22*(1), 51–65.

Atzori, L., Iera, A., & Morabito, G. (2010). The Internet of Things: A survey. *Computer Networks, 54*(15), 2787–2805.

Azadeh, K., De Koster, R., & Roy, D. (2019). Robotized and automated warehouse systems: Review and recent developments. *Transportation Science, 53*(4), 917–945.

Bauer, W., Hämmerle, M., Schlund, S., & Vocke, C. (2015). Transforming to a hyper-connected society and economy–Towards an "Industry 4.0". *Procedia Manufacturing, 3*, 417–424.

Bektaş, T., & Laporte, G. (2011). The pollution-routing problem. *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological, 45*(8), 1232–1250.

Belhadi, A., Kamble, S., Fosso Wamba, S., & Queiroz, M. M. (2021). Building supply-chain resilience: An artificial intelligence-based technique and decision-making framework. *International Journal of Production Research, 60*(14), 4487–4507.

Ben-Daya, M., Hassini, E., & Bahroun, Z. (2019). Internet of things and supply chain management: A literature review. *International Journal of Production Research, 57*(15–16), 4719–4742.

Bonfiglioli, R., Cimini, C., Pezzotta, G., & Pinto, R. (2020). An empirical analysis to extract a taxonomy of human–robot collaboration levels in Industry 4.0 manufacturing. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology, 106*, 2385–2410.

Bousdekis, A., Magoutas, B., Apostolou, D., & Mentzas, G. (2019). A proactive decision-making framework for condition-based maintenance. *Industrial Management & Data Systems, 119*(1), 177–195.

Burgos, D., & Ivanov, D. (2021). Food retail supply chain resilience and the COVID-19 pandemic: A digital twin-based impact analysis and improvement directions. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review, 152*, 102412.

Büyüközkan, G., & Göçer, F. (2018). Digital supply chain: Literature review and a proposed framework for future research. *Computers in Industry, 97*, 157–177.

Büyüközkan, G., Göçer, F., & Feyzioğlu, O. (2018). Cloud computing technology selection based on interval-valued intuitionistic fuzzy MCDM methods. *Soft Computing, 22*(15), 5091–5114.

Cao, M., & Zhang, Q. (2011). Supply chain collaboration: Impact on collaborative advantage and firm performance. *Journal of Operations Management, 29*(3), 163–180.

Capgemini Research Institute. (2020). *Digital twins: Adding intelligence to the real world*. Capgemini.

Carbonneau, R., Laframboise, K., & Vahidov, R. (2008). Application of machine learning techniques for supply chain demand forecasting. *European Journal of Operational Research, 184*(3), 1140–1154.

Cattaruzza, D., Absi, N., Feillet, D., & González-Feliu, J. (2017). Vehicle routing problems for city logistics. *EURO Journal on Transportation and Logistics, 6*(1), 51–79.

Choi, T. Y., Dooley, K. J., & Rungtusanatham, M. (2001). Supply networks and complex adaptive systems: Control versus emergence. *Journal of Operations Management, 19*(3), 351–366.

Christopher, M. (2016). *Logistics & supply chain management* (5th ed.). Pearson.

Christopher, M., & Holweg, M. (2011). "Supply Chain 2.0": Managing supply chains in the era of turbulence. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management, 41*(1), 63–82.

Christopher, M., & Peck, H. (2004). Building the resilient supply chain. *The International Journal of Logistics Management, 15*(2), 1–14.

Crainic, T. G., & Laporte, G. (1997). Planning models for freight transportation. *European Journal of Operational Research, 97*(3), 409–438.

De Koster, R., Le-Duc, T., & Roodbergen, K. J. (2007). Design and control of warehouse order picking: A literature review. *European Journal of Operational Research, 182*(2), 481–501.

Deloitte. (2020). *The Fourth Industrial Revolution is here—Are you ready?* Deloitte Insights.

Dubey, R., Gunasekaran, A., Childe, S. J., Papadopoulos, T., Luo, Z., Wamba, S. F., & Roubaud, D. (2019). Can big data and predictive analytics improve social and environmental sustainability? *Technological Forecasting and Social Change, 144*, 534–545.

Fildes, R., Ma, S., & Kolassa, S. (2019). Retail forecasting: Research and practice. *International Journal of Forecasting, 38*(4), 1283–1318.

Francis, V. (2008). Supply chain visibility: Lost in translation? *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal, 13*(3), 180–184.

Fuller, A., Fan, Z., Day, C., & Barlow, C. (2020). Digital twin: Enabling technologies, challenges and open research. *IEEE Access, 8*, 108952–108971.

Gartner. (2019). *Supply chain control tower for digital supply chains*. Gartner Research.

Gijsbrechts, J., Boute, R. N., Van Mieghem, J. A., & Zhang, D. J. (2022). Can deep reinforcement learning improve inventory management? *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management, 24*(3), 1349–1368.

Govindan, K., & Hasanagic, M. (2018). A systematic review on drivers, barriers, and practices towards circular economy: A supply chain perspective. *International Journal of Production Research, 56*(1–2), 278–311.

Grieves, M., & Vickers, J. (2017). Digital twin: Mitigating unpredictable, undesirable emergent behavior in complex systems. In F.-J. Kahlen et al. (Eds.), *Transdisciplinary perspectives on complex systems* (pp. 85–113). Springer.

GS1. (2020). *Standards for the digital supply chain*. GS1 Global Office.

Handfield, R. B., Graham, G., & Burns, L. (2020). Coronavirus, tariffs, trade wars and supply chain evolutionary design. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 40*(10), 1649–1660.

Hewamalage, H., Bergmeir, C., & Bandara, K. (2021). Recurrent neural networks for time series forecasting. *International Journal of Forecasting, 37*(1), 388–427.

Hofmann, P., Samp, C., & Urbach, N. (2020). Robotic process automation. *Electronic Markets, 30*(1), 99–106.

International Federation of Robotics. (2023). *World Robotics Report 2023*. IFR Statistical Department.

Ivanov, D. (2020). Predicting the impacts of epidemic outbreaks on global supply chains. *Transportation Research Part E, 136*, 101922.

Ivanov, D., & Dolgui, A. (2020). Viability of intertwined supply networks. *International Journal of Production Research, 58*(10), 2904–2915.

Ivanov, D., & Dolgui, A. (2021). A digital supply chain twin. *Production Planning & Control, 32*(9), 775–788.

Jardine, A. K., Lin, D., & Banjevic, D. (2006). Machinery diagnostics and prognostics. *Mechanical Systems and Signal Processing, 20*(7), 1483–1510.

Kagermann, H., Helbig, J., Hellinger, A., & Wahlster, W. (2013). *Recommendations for implementing the strategic initiative INDUSTRIE 4.0*. Forschungsunion.

Kamilaris, A., Fonts, A., & Prenafeta-Boldú, F. X. (2019). Blockchain in agriculture and food supply chains. *Trends in Food Science & Technology, 91*, 640–652.

Kritzinger, W., Karner, M., Traar, G., Henjes, J., & Sihn, W. (2018). Digital twin in manufacturing. *IFAC-PapersOnLine, 51*(11), 1016–1022.

Kshetri, N. (2018). Blockchain's roles in meeting key supply chain management objectives. *International Journal of Information Management, 39*, 80–89.

Lacity, M. C., & Willcocks, L. P. (2016). Robotic process automation at Telefónica O2. *The Outsourcing Unit Working Research Paper Series, 15*(2), 1–29.
Lee, I., & Lee, K. (2015). The Internet of Things (IoT). *Business Horizons, 58*(4), 431–440.
Lee, J., Kao, H. A., & Yang, S. (2014). Service innovation and smart analytics. *Procedia CIRP, 16*, 3–8.
Leuschner, R., Rogers, D. S., & Charvet, F. F. (2013). Supply chain integration and firm performance. *Journal of Supply Chain Management, 49*(2), 34–57.
Markets and Markets. (2022). *Digital twin market—Global forecast to 2027*. Markets and Markets Research.
McKinsey & Company. (2021). *The future of operations*. McKinsey & Company.
McKinsey & Company. (2023). *The state of AI in 2023*. McKinsey & Company.
McKinnon, A. (2018). *Decarbonizing logistics*. Kogan Page.
Merschformann, M., Lamballais, T., De Koster, M. B. M., & Suhl, L. (2019). Decision rules for robotic mobile fulfillment systems. *Operations Research Perspectives, 6*, 100128.
Min, H. (2010). Artificial intelligence in supply chain management. *International Journal of Logistics, 13*(1), 13–39.
Mobley, R. K. (2002). *An introduction to predictive maintenance* (2nd ed.). Butterworth-Heinemann.
Müller, J. M., Kiel, D., & Voigt, K. I. (2018). Implementation of Industry 4.0. *Sustainability, 10*(1), 247.
Nascimento, D. L. M., et al. (2019). Industry 4.0 and circular economy. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management, 30*(3), 607–627.
Ngai, E. W. T., et al. (2008). RFID research review. *International Journal of Production Economics, 112*(2), 510–520.
Papadopoulos, T., et al. (2017). Big data and disaster resilience. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 142*, 1108–1118.
Pettit, T. J., Croxton, K. L., & Fiksel, J. (2019). Supply chain resilience evolution. *Journal of Business Logistics, 40*(1), 56–65.
Pillac, V., et al. (2013). Dynamic vehicle routing problems. *European Journal of Operational Research, 225*(1), 1–11.
Porter, M. E., & Heppelmann, J. E. (2014). Smart, connected products. *Harvard Business Review, 92*(11), 64–88.
Qi, Q., et al. (2021). Enabling technologies for digital twin. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems, 58*, 3–21.
Rukanova, B., et al. (2018). Post-disaster humanitarian supply chains. *Information Technology for Development, 24*(3), 432–451.
Rushton, A., Croucher, P., & Baker, P. (2014). *The handbook of logistics and distribution management* (5th ed.). Kogan Page.
Saberi, S., et al. (2019). Blockchain and sustainable supply chains. *International Journal of Production Research, 57*(7), 2117–2135.
Sarkis, J., Zhu, Q., & Lai, K. H. (2011). Green supply chain management. *International Journal of Production Economics, 130*(1), 1–15.
Sawik, T. (2013). Resilient supply portfolio selection. *Omega, 41*(2), 259–269.
Seuring, S., & Müller, M. (2008). Sustainable supply chain management framework. *Journal of Cleaner Production, 16*(15), 1699–1710.
Sheffi, Y., & Rice, J. B., Jr. (2005). Resilient enterprise. *MIT Sloan Management Review, 47*(1), 41–48.
Silver, E. A., Pyke, D. F., & Thomas, D. J. (2016). *Inventory and production management in supply chains* (4th ed.). CRC Press.
Simatupang, T. M., & Sridharan, R. (2005). Supply chain collaboration index. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management, 35*(1), 44–62.
Simchi-Levi, D., et al. (2014). Managing supply chain disruptions. *Harvard Business Review, 92*(1–2), 96–101.
Snyder, L. V., et al. (2016). OR/MS models for disruptions. *IIE Transactions, 48*(2), 89–109.
Sundarakani, B., et al. (2010). Carbon footprint modeling. *International Journal of Production Economics, 128*(1), 43–50.
Syntetos, A. A., et al. (2010). Forecasting intermittent demand. *Journal of Business Research, 68*(8), 1746–1752.
Syntetos, A. A., et al. (2016). Supply chain forecasting. *European Journal of Operational Research, 252*(1), 1–26.
Tajima, M. (2007). Strategic value of RFID. *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management, 13*(4), 261–273.
Tang, C. S., & Zhou, S. (2012). Sustainable operations research. *European Journal of Operational Research, 223*(3), 585–594.
Tao, F., et al. (2018). Data-driven smart manufacturing. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems, 48*, 157–169.
Tian, F. (2016). RFID & blockchain traceability system. In *ICSSSM* (pp. 1–6). IEEE.
Tiwari, A., et al. (2015). Multi-objective optimization of business processes. Springer.
Tiwari, S., et al. (2018). Big data analytics in supply chains. *Computers & Industrial Engineering, 115*, 319–330.
Tomlin, B. (2006). Mitigation and contingency strategies. *Management Science, 52*(5), 639–657.
Westerman, G., Bonnet, D., & McAfee, A. (2014). *Leading digital*. Harvard Business Press.
Wu, L., et al. (2016). Smart supply chain management. *The International Journal of Logistics Management, 27*(2), 395–417.
Xu, L. D., Xu, E. L., & Li, L. (2018). Industry 4.0 trends. *International Journal of Production Research, 56*(8), 2941–2962.