PEER-REVIEWED JOURNAL OF ARTS AND LITERATURE (PRJAL)
ISSN Online :
Open Access Scholarly Journal

Mughal Trade Policies and Global Economic Impact

Manoj T R

[1]Associate Professor, Department of History, Milad-E-Sherief Memorial College, Kayamkulam, Kerala, India.

Article information

Received: 2nd December 2025
Received in revised form: 12th January 2026
Accepted: 9th February 2026
Available online: 3rd March 2026

Volume: 1
Issue: 1
DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18846606

Abstract

This paper examines the trade policies of the Mughal Empire (1526-1857) and their profound impact on the early modern global economy. Through analysis of contemporary sources and recent historiography, this study demonstrates that Mughal commercial policies created an integrated economic system that facilitated unprecedented volumes of trade between Asia, Europe, and the Americas. The empire's strategic location, sophisticated administrative infrastructure, and deliberate policies of economic openness attracted European trading companies and transformed global patterns of commerce. Mughal export-oriented production, particularly in textiles, spices, and luxury goods, generated substantial bullion flows from Europe and the Americas into the Indian subcontinent. This research argues that Mughal trade policies fundamentally shaped the emerging world economy by creating institutional frameworks for long-distance commerce, stimulating manufacturing centers that supplied global markets, and establishing India as the primary destination for New World silver. The paper contributes to understanding how non-European powers actively shaped globalization during the early modern period.

Keywords: - Mughal Empire, Trade Policies, Early Modern Global Economy, Indian Ocean Trade, European Trading Companies, Long-Distance Commerce

I. INTRODUCTION

The Mughal Empire, which dominated the Indian subcontinent from 1526 to 1857, represented one of the most significant economic powers in early modern world history. At its zenith during the 17th century, the empire controlled approximately one-quarter of global GDP and facilitated commercial networks that connected Asia, Europe, Africa, and the Americas (Maddison, 2007). The Mughal state's approach to trade and commerce fundamentally differed from contemporaneous European mercantilist policies, embracing relative openness to foreign merchants while maintaining sophisticated systems of taxation, regulation, and quality control that enhanced rather than restricted commercial activity.

Understanding Mughal trade policies requires examining how the empire's administrative structures, manufacturing capabilities, and strategic geographic position created conditions for unprecedented commercial expansion. The empire's location straddling crucial overland and maritime trade routes between East and Southeast Asia, Central Asia, Persia, and Europe provided natural advantages that Mughal rulers consciously cultivated through infrastructure investment and diplomatic engagement. Furthermore, the empire's agricultural productivity and sophisticated artisanal production generated exportable surpluses that attracted merchants from across the known world.

This paper argues that Mughal trade policies created institutional and economic foundations essential to the development of the early modern world economy. By examining the empire's commercial regulations, export economy, and relationships with European trading companies, this study demonstrates how Mughal policies actively shaped global patterns of trade, production, and capital accumulation that persisted well beyond the empire's political decline.

II. HISTORIOGRAPHICAL CONTEXT

Scholarship on the Mughal economy has evolved considerably over recent decades. Early nationalist historiography often portrayed the Mughal period as one of economic stagnation or exploitation (Habib, 1963). However, revisionist economic historians have demonstrated the empire's commercial sophistication and integration into global trading networks. Irfan Habib's pioneering work established the foundations for understanding Mughal agrarian structures and their relationship to commercial production, though subsequent scholars have questioned some of his conclusions regarding the extent of surplus extraction (Habib, 1999).

The "California School" of world economic history, particularly through scholars like Kenneth Pomeranz, has repositioned Asian economies as central to early modern globalization rather than peripheral to European expansion. This perspective emphasizes the economic parity between advanced Asian and European regions until the 19th century (Pomeranz, 2000). Simultaneously, specialists in Indian Ocean trade history, including K. N. Chaudhuri, Sanjay Subrahmanyam, and Om Prakash, have illuminated the complex commercial networks connecting the Mughal Empire to Southeast Asia, the Middle East, East Africa, and Europe (Chaudhuri, 1985; Subrahmanyam, 1990; Prakash, 1998).

Recent scholarship has particularly emphasized the Mughal Empire's role in what scholars term the "silver century," during which massive quantities of American silver flowed into Asian markets, with India serving as a primary destination (Richards, 1987; Flynn & Giráldez, 1995). This research demonstrates that Mughal trade policies deliberately attracted this bullion through production of goods that European and Asian markets demanded, fundamentally shaping global patterns of monetary circulation.

III. MUGHAL TRADE POLICIES AND INFRASTRUCTURE

The Mughal state implemented commercial policies that balanced revenue generation with trade facilitation. Unlike European mercantilist regimes that restricted foreign trade to protect domestic producers, Mughal emperors generally welcomed foreign merchants while maintaining quality standards and collecting customs duties. The empire established a standardized system of tolls and customs duties that, while generating substantial revenue, remained sufficiently moderate to encourage rather than discourage commerce (Richards, 1993). The primary customs duty, the rahdari, typically ranged from 2.5% to 5% of goods' value, significantly lower than many contemporary European tariffs.

Imperial investment in infrastructure facilitated commercial expansion throughout the empire. The Mughal road network, particularly the Grand Trunk Road connecting Bengal to the northwest frontier, provided secure passage for caravans and merchants. Imperial officials established sarais (caravanserais) at regular intervals along major routes, offering merchants shelter, storage facilities, and security (Blake, 1991). The empire's postal system, developed for administrative communications, simultaneously served commercial needs by enabling merchants to transmit information about prices, availability, and market conditions across vast distances.

Port cities under Mughal control, particularly Surat, Cambay, and later Hooghly, developed as cosmopolitan commercial centers where merchants from diverse backgrounds conducted business under imperial protection. The Mughal state granted European trading companies farmans (imperial edicts) that established their trading rights, exempted them from certain duties, and provided legal frameworks for their operations. These arrangements, while benefiting European companies, served Mughal interests by attracting bullion, encouraging production for export, and generating customs revenue.

The empire's monetary system, based primarily on silver rupees standardized during Akbar's reign, facilitated both domestic and international commerce. The rupee's stability and widespread acceptance across Asia enabled merchants to conduct large-scale transactions with confidence (Habib, 1999). Moreover, the Mughal state's demand for silver to mint currency created strong incentives for European merchants to import American silver, establishing patterns of monetary flow that connected the Americas, Europe, and Asia in unprecedented ways.

IV. EXPORT ECONOMY AND GLOBAL CONNECTIONS

The Mughal Empire's export economy centered on textile production, which constituted the empire's most significant contribution to global trade. Indian cotton textiles, particularly from Gujarat and Bengal, dominated markets across Asia, Africa, Europe, and the Americas. These textiles ranged from coarse calicoes to fine muslins, with production organized through complex putting-out systems that linked urban merchants with rural weavers (Parthasarathi, 2001). The diversity and quality of Indian textiles made them universally marketable, while their relatively low production costs compared to European alternatives ensured strong demand.

European trading companies, particularly the English and Dutch East India Companies, established extensive networks for procuring Indian textiles. These companies advanced capital to Indian merchants who organized production, creating commercial relationships that channeled European silver into the Mughal economy while extracting manufactured goods for global distribution (Prakash, 1998). The scale of this trade was substantial; by the late 17th century, textile exports to Europe alone exceeded several million pieces annually, not counting the vast quantities shipped to Southeast Asia, the Middle East, and East Africa.

Beyond textiles, the Mughal Empire exported significant quantities of indigo, saltpeter, silk, spices, and luxury goods. Indigo production, particularly in Bihar and Gujarat, supplied European demand for blue dye essential to textile manufacturing. Saltpeter exports supported European gunpowder production, giving the Mughal Empire strategic significance beyond purely commercial considerations. The empire also served as an entrepôt for goods from elsewhere in Asia, including Southeast Asian spices, Chinese silk, and Persian luxury goods, which passed through Mughal territories en route to European and African markets.

The empire’s import demands remained relatively limited, primarily consisting of precious metals, horses, luxury items, and certain specialty goods. This trade imbalance necessitated large-scale bullion imports to settle accounts, with estimates suggesting that millions of ounces of silver flowed into Mughal territories annually during the 17th century (Richards, 1987). This influx of precious metals financed Mughal military expansion, architectural projects, and administrative operations while simultaneously stimulating domestic economic activity through increased monetary circulation.

V. IMPACT ON EUROPEAN TRADE NETWORKS

The Mughal Empire’s trade policies profoundly influenced European commercial strategies and economic development. European trading companies initially sought to access Asian spices, particularly from the Moluccas and other Southeast Asian regions. However, Indian textiles rapidly became equally or more important to European commercial interests. The demand for Indian cottons in European markets stimulated the development of Atlantic triangular trade patterns, wherein European manufacturers shipped goods to Africa, exchanged them for enslaved people, transported captives to American plantations, and used American silver and plantation products to purchase Asian goods (Parthasarathi, 2011).

The popularity of Indian textiles in Europe created significant economic challenges for European textile producers. By the late 17th century, imported Indian calicoes dominated European markets to such an extent that domestic manufacturers successfully lobbied for protective legislation. England’s Calico Acts of 1700 and 1721 restricted Indian textile imports, while France implemented similar measures (Lemire, 1991). These protectionist policies paradoxically demonstrated Indian textiles’ competitive superiority and stimulated European efforts to replicate Indian production techniques, contributing to technological innovations that eventually enabled the Industrial Revolution.

European companies’ experiences trading with the Mughal Empire influenced institutional development in Europe itself. The organizational structures, financial instruments, and commercial practices developed for Asian trade – including joint-stock companies, negotiable bills of exchange, and sophisticated bookkeeping methods – subsequently influenced European domestic commerce (Subrahmanyam, 1990). The substantial capital requirements for Asian trade encouraged development of European financial markets and banking systems capable of mobilizing resources for long-distance commerce.

Furthermore, the Mughal Empire’s commercial dominance created incentives for European territorial expansion in Asia. As direct trade with the Mughal Empire proved profitable but placed Europeans in economically subordinate positions, European companies increasingly sought territorial control that would enable them to reorganize Asian production to serve European interests. This dynamic ultimately contributed to the transformation of European commercial presence in India from trading partnerships to colonial domination, though this transition occurred well after the Mughal Empire’s period of maximum commercial influence.

VI. REGIONAL AND GLOBAL ECONOMIC CONSEQUENCES

Mughal trade policies created economic ripple effects that extended far beyond the empire’s political boundaries. Within South Asia, imperial demand for export goods stimulated agricultural commercialization and artisanal production in regions throughout the subcontinent. Bengal’s transformation into a major textile manufacturing center exemplified how export opportunities reshaped regional economies. Agricultural production increasingly oriented toward commercial crops like cotton, indigo, and sugar, integrating peasant producers into global commodity chains (Hasan, 1991).

The concentration of bullion in Mughal territories influenced monetary conditions across Asia. India’s role as a “sink” for precious metals affected silver prices throughout Asia and contributed to monetary stability that facilitated commercial expansion. However, this also created dependencies on continued bullion flows, making the Mughal economy vulnerable to disruptions in American silver production or European trading patterns (Flynn & Giráldez, 1995).

Globally, Mughal trade policies contributed to the emergence of integrated commercial networks linking four continents. American silver financed European purchases of Asian goods, while Asian textiles clothed enslaved laborers on American plantations and served as currency in African trade. This circular flow of goods, people, and precious metals created interdependencies that characterized early modern globalization (Pomeranz, 2000). The Mughal Empire’s position within these networks demonstrates that non-European powers actively shaped rather than passively experienced this process.

The empire’s commercial success also contributed to urbanization and cultural exchange. Port cities like Surat became cosmopolitan centers where merchants from Europe, the Middle East, Central Asia, Southeast Asia, and across the Indian Ocean interacted, creating spaces for cultural and technological exchange. These urban centers served as nodes in information networks that transmitted commercial intelligence, technical knowledge, and cultural practices across vast distances, contributing to what some scholars term the “proto-globalization” of the early modern period.

VII. DISCUSSION

The evidence examined demonstrates that Mughal trade policies actively shaped early modern global economic development through multiple mechanisms. First, the empire’s institutional framework for commerce – standardized currencies, regulated customs duties, infrastructure investment, and legal protections for merchants – created conditions enabling large-scale international trade. These institutions reduced transaction costs and risks associated with long-distance commerce, encouraging commercial expansion that connected distant regions.

Second, Mughal manufacturing capabilities, particularly in textiles, created export surpluses that satisfied demand across multiple continents. The empire’s comparative advantage in textile production stemmed from low labor costs, skilled artisans, favorable climate for cotton cultivation, and sophisticated production organization. This competitive position established patterns of global trade wherein Asian manufactured goods exchanged for European precious metals and American raw materials, patterns that persisted until industrialization transformed European productive capabilities.

Third, the empire’s monetary demand for silver created mechanisms connecting the Americas, Europe, and Asia in circular flows of goods and bullion. American mines produced silver that European merchants used to purchase Asian goods, which then circulated through Atlantic and African trade networks, generating demands for additional American silver. The Mughal Empire’s position as a primary destination for this silver made it central to these global economic circuits.

However, this analysis also reveals vulnerabilities in the Mughal economic system. The empire’s reliance on bullion imports to finance its trade surplus created dependencies on factors beyond imperial control, including American silver production, European commercial strategies, and global economic conditions. When these external factors shifted – particularly during the 18th century as European industrialization began transforming competitive relationships – the Mughal economy faced challenges adapting to new circumstances. Nevertheless, these long-term vulnerabilities should not obscure the empire’s dominant economic position during its peak period in the 16th and 17th centuries.

VIII. CONCLUSION

This analysis demonstrates that Mughal trade policies fundamentally shaped the early modern global economy by creating institutional frameworks for international commerce, producing manufactured goods that satisfied worldwide demand, and attracting precious metal flows that connected four continents in complex economic relationships. The empire's commercial sophistication, manufacturing capabilities, and strategic position enabled it to serve as the hub of Asian trade networks while simultaneously influencing European economic development and participating in emerging Atlantic economic systems.

The Mughal case challenges Eurocentric narratives of early modern globalization by demonstrating how Asian powers actively shaped rather than passively experienced global economic integration. Rather than viewing globalization as something Europeans did to the rest of the world, examining Mughal trade policies reveals a more complex process wherein multiple centers of economic power – including the Mughal Empire, Ming and Qing China, and various European states – interacted to create integrated commercial networks serving diverse interests.

Future research should further explore the connections between Mughal commercial policies and those of other Asian powers, particularly Ming and Qing China, to better understand how Asian economic systems collectively influenced global development. Additionally, more detailed regional studies examining how specific Mughal policies affected particular export industries, commercial communities, and production centers would enrich understanding of the mechanisms through which imperial policies translated into economic outcomes. Finally, comparative analysis of how different early modern empires – Mughal, Ottoman, Safavid, Ming, Spanish – approached commercial policy and international trade could illuminate alternative pathways to economic development and global integration.

Understanding the Mughal Empire's role in shaping the global economy carries contemporary relevance as scholars and policymakers grapple with questions about globalization, economic integration, and the distribution of power in the international economic system. The Mughal experience demonstrates that economic dominance need not derive from political hegemony, that manufacturing capabilities can sustain trade advantages across centuries, and that institutional frameworks facilitating commerce can attract resources and generate prosperity. These lessons from early modern economic history continue to inform contemporary debates about economic development, international trade, and global economic governance.

REFERENCES

Blake, S. P. (1991). Shahjahanabad: The sovereign city in Mughal India, 1639-1739. Cambridge University Press.

Chaudhuri, K. N. (1985). Trade and civilisation in the Indian Ocean: An economic history from the rise of Islam to 1750. Cambridge University Press.

Flynn, D. O., & Giráldez, A. (1995). Born with a "silver spoon": The origin of world trade in 1571. Journal of World History, 6(2), 201-221.

Habib, I. (1963). The agrarian system of Mughal India, 1556-1707. Asia Publishing House.

Habib, I. (1999). The agrarian system of Mughal India, 1556-1707 (2nd rev. ed.). Oxford University Press.

Hasan, F. (1991). State and locality in Mughal India: Power relations in western India, c. 1572-1730. Modern Asian Studies, 25(3), 479-517.

Lemire, B. (1991). Fashion's favourite: The cotton trade and the consumer in Britain, 1660-1800. Oxford University Press.

Maddison, A. (2007). Contours of the world economy, 1-2030 AD: Essays in macro-economic history. Oxford University Press.

Parthasarathi, P. (2001). The transition to a colonial economy: Weavers, merchants and kings in South India, 1720-1800. Cambridge University Press.

Parthasarathi, P. (2011). Why Europe grew rich and Asia did not: Global economic divergence, 1600-1850. Cambridge University Press.

Pomeranz, K. (2000). The great divergence: China, Europe, and the making of the modern world economy. Princeton University Press.

Prakash, O. (1998). European commercial enterprise in pre-colonial India. Cambridge University Press.